### COUNTING SEMILINEAR ENDOMORPHISMS OVER FINITE FIELDS

#### TIM HOLLAND

### 1. Introduction

Fix a prime p and finite field k of order  $q:=p^r$ . For a field automorphism  $\tau$  of k and a k-vector space V of dimension g, we will write  $\operatorname{End}^{\tau}(V)$  for the set of  $\tau$ -semilinear endomorphisms of V; that is, additive maps  $F:V\to V$  which satisfy  $F(\alpha v)=\tau(\alpha)\cdot F(v)$  for all  $v\in V$  and  $\alpha\in k$ . As  $\tau$  is an automorphism, the kernel and image (as sets, say) of any  $\tau$ -semilinear map F are both k-subspaces of V, and this gives a well-defined notion of rank and nullity. Moreover, one has a canonical direct sum decomposition  $V\simeq V^{F-\operatorname{bij}}\oplus V^{F-\operatorname{nil}}$  where  $V^{F-\operatorname{bij}}$  is the maximal subspace of V on which F is bijective, so we may speak of the "infinity rank" of F, which by definition is  $\operatorname{rk}_{\infty}(F):=\dim_k(V^{F-\operatorname{bij}})$ . For any pair of nonnegative integers r,s satisfying  $s\leq r\leq g$ , we may thus define the set

(1.1) 
$$P_{r,s}^{\tau} := \{ F \in \operatorname{End}^{\tau}(V) : \operatorname{rk}(F) = r \text{ and } \operatorname{rk}_{\infty}(F) = s \}.$$

These sets show up naturally in the classification of finite flat p-power order group schemes over k which are killed by p and which have p-rank s, via Dieudonné theory. It is therefore natural to ask for a closed formula (in q = # k) for the cardinality of  $P_{r,s}^{\tau}$ , and the main result of this paper is precisely such a formula:

**Theorem 1.1.** Let g be a positive integer and r, s nonnegative integers with  $s \leq r \leq g$ . For any fixed automorphism  $\tau$  of k and any g-dimensional vector space V, the number of  $\tau$ -semilinear endomorphisms of V with rank r and infinity rank s is

$$\#P_{r,s}^{\tau} = \frac{q^{g^2}}{q^{(g-r)^2 + r - s}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{g} (1 - q^{-j}) \prod_{j=g-r}^{g-s-1} (1 - q^{-j})}{\prod_{j=1}^{r-s} (1 - q^{-j}) \prod_{j=1}^{g-r} (1 - q^{-j})}$$

Here, we follow the usual convention that a product indexed by the empty set takes the value 1. Note that  $P_{g,g}^{\mathrm{id}}$  is identified with  $\mathrm{GL}_g(k)$  upon choosing a basis of V, while the union of  $P_{r,0}^{\mathrm{id}}$  for  $0 \leq r \leq g$  is, upon choosing a basis of V, the set of nilpotent  $g \times g$  matrices with entries in k, so our formula may be used to recover the well-known formulae for the order of  $\mathrm{GL}_g(k)$  and for the number of nilpotent  $g \times g$  matrices over k (for which, see [2]). In fact, our argument is a natural generalization of the proof of the main result of [2], though some care is required in our method to deal with the issue of semilinearity. We remark that Theorem 1.1 provides key input for one of the main results of [1], and indeed this was the genesis of the present note.

Date: December 22, 2011.

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 15A04 (15A03 15A33).

The author is grateful to David Zureick-Brown for sharing his ideas and to Bryden Cais and Jeremy Booher for supervising his 2011 PROMYS research project.

2 TIM HOLLAND

## 2. Flags and adapted bases

In this section, we summarize the concepts and tools from semilinear algebra that will figure in the proof of Theorem 1.1. We keep the notation of  $\S1$ ; in particular, a g-dimensional k-vector space V.

We begin by noting that for an automorphism  $\tau$  of k, the set  $\operatorname{End}^{\tau}(V)$  is naturally a k-vector space, and that for  $F \in \operatorname{End}^{\tau}(V)$  and  $F' \in \operatorname{End}^{\tau'}(V)$ , the composition  $F \circ F'$  lies in  $\operatorname{End}^{\tau \circ \tau'}(V)$ . For  $F \in \operatorname{End}^{\tau}(V)$ , one checks that the subsets  $\ker(F)$  and  $\operatorname{im}(F)$ , defined in the usual way, are actually k-linear subspaces of V, and we set  $\operatorname{rk}(F) := \dim_k(\operatorname{im}(F))$ . By definition, the terminal image of F is the subspace

$$V^{F-\text{bij}} := \bigcap_{n>0} \text{im}(F^n),$$

and we define the infinity rank of F to be the dimension of its terminal image:  $\operatorname{rk}_{\infty}(F) := \dim_k V^{F-\operatorname{bij}}$ . An easy argument shows that in fact  $\operatorname{rk}_{\infty}(F) = \operatorname{rk}(F^g)$ , and that F is bijective on  $V^{F-\operatorname{bij}}$ , which justifies the notation. In fact,  $V^{F-\operatorname{bij}}$  is the maximal F-stable subspace of V on which F is bijective [].

**Definition 2.1.** Let r be a nonnegative integer and

$$(2.1) V = V_0 \supseteq V_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq V_{r-1} \supseteq V_r = 0$$

be a flag in V. Set  $d_i = \dim V_i$ . We say that an ordered basis  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_g\}$  of V is adapted to the given flag (2.1) if  $\{v_{q-d_i+1}, \dots, v_n\}$  is a basis of  $V_i$  for all i.

Given a flag in V and a fixed ordered basis  $\mathbf{e} := \{e_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq g}$  of V, there is a canonical procedure from the theory of Schubert cells which associates to  $\mathbf{e}$  an adapted basis of the given flag, which we now explain.

First, suppose that U is an arbitrary subspace of V, and for  $1 \le j \le g$  define

$$U_j := U \cap \text{span}\{e_{j+1}, e_{j+2}, \dots, e_g\},\$$

with the convention that  $U_g = 0$ . We then have descending chain of subspaces

$$U = U_0 \supseteq U_1 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq U_q = 0$$

with the property that  $\dim(U_{j-1}) - \dim(U_j) \leq 1$  and equality holds if and only if  $U_{j-1} \neq U_j$ . We define

$$J := \{j : U_{i-1} \neq U_i\}$$

and put m := #J; note that this integer is equal to the dimension of U.

**Lemma 2.2.** For each  $j \in J$ , there is a unique vector  $u_i \in U_{i-1}$  with

$$u_i - e_i \in \operatorname{span}\{e_i : i > j, i \notin J\}.$$

Moreover,  $\{u_j : j \in J\}$  is a basis of U, and if the last n-vectors of  $\mathbf{e}$  lie in U for some  $n \leq m$ , then  $u_j = e_j$  for all  $j \in J$  with  $j \geq g - n + 1$ .

*Proof.* We list the m elements of J in increasing order  $j_1 < j_2 < \cdots < j_m$ . By definition of J, the complement of  $U_{j_i}$  in  $U_{j_i-1}$  is 1-dimensional for  $1 \le i \le m$ , so we may pick a nonzero vector  $v_{j_i}$  in this complement which spans it. Then  $v_{j_m}$  is a linear combination of  $\{e_i : i \ge j_m\}$  with a nonzero coefficient of  $e_{j_m}$  by construction. We may therefore uniquely scale  $v_{j_m}$  by the inverse of this coefficient to obtain a vector  $u_{j_m} \in U_{j_m}$  which satisfies (2.2). Now suppose inductively that

vectors  $u_{j_m}, u_{j_{m-1}}, \dots, u_{j_{d+1}}$  satisfying the condition of the Lemma have been uniquely determined. We may uniquely write our choice  $v_{id}$  as

$$v_{j_d} = c_0 e_{j_d} + \sum_{i=1}^{g-j_d} c_i e_{j_d+i}$$

with  $c_0$  necessarily nonzero. We then define

$$u_{j_d} = c_0^{-1} v_{j_d} - \sum_{\substack{j_d + i \in J \\ 1 \le i \le g - j_d}} c_0^{-1} c_i e_{j_d + i}$$

One checks that  $u_{id}$  satisfies (2.2). Multiplying our choice  $v_{id}$  by any nonzero scalar gives the same vector  $u_{id}$ ; in particular, the  $u_{ii}$  are independent of our initial choices of the  $v_{ii}$  and so are uniquely determined. That the set  $\{u_j : j \in J\}$  is a basis of U follows immediately from our construction, as does the fact that  $u_j = e_j$  for  $j \ge g - n + 1$  when the last n vectors of the ordered basis **e** lie in U. 

For a fixed ordered basis  $\mathbf{e} = \{e_i\}$  of V and a subspace U of V, the procedure of Lemma 2.2 yields, in a canonical way, a new ordered basis  $\{e_j: j \notin J\} \cup \{u_j: j \in J\}$  of V with the property that the final m vectors are a basis of U. We will say that this process adapts the basis e to the subspace U. Note that the process of adapting an ordered basis to U does not change the final nvectors when these vectors lie in U.

Given a flag (2.1) in V and a fixed ordered basis e of V, we now associate a canonical adapted basis v as follows. First, we adapt  $\mathbf{e}_r := \mathbf{e}$  to  $V_{r-1}$  to obtain a new ordered basis  $\mathbf{e}_{r-1}$  of V with the property that the last  $d_{r-1}$  vectors are a basis of  $V_{r-1}$ . We then adapt  $\mathbf{e}_{r-1}$  to  $V_{r-2}$  to obtain a new ordered basis of V in which the last  $d_{r-2}$  vectors are a basis of  $V_{r-2}$  and the last  $d_{r-1}$  vectors are a basis of  $V_{r-1}$  (as  $V_{r-1} \subseteq V_{r-2}$  so the last  $d_{r-1}$  vectors of  $\mathbf{e}_{r-2}$  and  $\mathbf{e}_{r-1}$  coincide as we have noted). We continue in this manner, until we arrive at the adapted basis  $\mathbf{v} := \mathbf{e}_1$ ; by the unicity of Lemma 2.2, this  $\mathbf{v}$  is uniquely determined by the flag 2.1 and the fixed ordered basis  $\mathbf{e}$  of V.

# 3. Proof of Theorem 1.1

Our proof of Theorem 1.1 will proceed in two steps. First, using flags and adapted bases, we will show that the set  $P_{r,s}^{\tau}$  defined by (1.1) is in bijection with a certain set consisting of lists of vectors; we will then count this latter set.

**Definition 3.1.** For r, s nonnegative integers with  $s \leq r \leq g$ , we define  $X_{r,s}$  to be the subset of  $V^g$  consisting of all g-tuples  $(x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_q)$  which satisfy:

- $\begin{array}{l} (1) \ \dim \operatorname{span}\{x_j\}_{j=1}^g = r \\ (2) \ \dim \operatorname{span}\{x_j\}_{j=g-s+1}^g = s \\ (3) \ x_{g-s} \in \operatorname{span}\{x_j\}_{j=g-s+1}^g. \end{array}$

Now let  $\tau$  be any automorphism of k and fix, once and for all, a choice **e** of k-basis of V. Any  $F \in P_{r,s}^{\tau}$  determines a flag in V via  $V_i := F^i(V)$ , and this flag necessarily has the form

$$(3.1) V = V_0 \supseteq V_1 \supseteq V_2 \supseteq \cdots \supseteq V_t = V_{t+1} = V_{t+2} \cdots$$

with  $V_1 = \operatorname{im}(F)$  of dimension r and  $V_t$  of dimension s (as it is the terminal image of F). Adapting **e** to (3.1) as in §2.2 uniquely determines an ordered basis  $\mathbf{v}_F := \{v_{F,i}\}_{i=1}^g$  of V (that depends on F), and we define a map of sets

(3.2) 
$$\mu: P_{r,s}^{\tau} \longrightarrow V^g \qquad \text{by} \qquad \mu(F) := (F(v_{F,1}), F(v_{F,2}), \dots, F(v_{F,q})).$$

The following Lemma is key:

**Lemma 3.2.** The map  $\mu$  of (3.2) is a bijection onto  $X_{r,s}$ .

*Proof.* It is clear from our construction that  $\mu$  has image contained in  $X_{r,s}$ , so it suffices to construct an inverse mapping which we do as follows. Given an arbitrary element  $x := (x_i)_{i=1}^g$  of  $X_{r,s}$ , we set  $V_0 := V$  and  $d_0 := g$  and for  $i \ge 1$  inductively construct a flag in V by defining

$$(3.3) V_i := \text{span}\{x_{q-d_{i-1},\dots,x_q}\} \text{and} d_i := \dim(V_i).$$

Letting  $\mathbf{v}_x = \{v_{x,i}\}_{i=1}^g$  be the adaptation of the basis  $\mathbf{e}$  to this flag, we define  $F_x \in \operatorname{End}^\tau(V)$  to be the unique  $\tau$ -semilinear endomorphism of V satisfying  $F_x(v_{x,i}) = x_i$  for all i. That is, for arbitrary  $v \in V$ , we write  $v = \sum c_i v_{x,i}$  as a unique linear combination of the basis vectors  $v_{x,i}$  and we define  $F_x(v) := \sum \tau(c_i)x_i$ , which is visibly a  $\tau$ -semilinear endomorphism of V. We claim that  $V_i = F_x^i(V)$  for all i. For i = 0 this is simply the definition of  $V_0 = V$ . Inductively supposing that  $F_x^i(V) = V_i$  for some  $i \geq 0$ , we then have

$$F_x^{i+1}(V) = F_x(F_x^i(V)) = F_x(V_i) = F_x(\operatorname{span}\{v_{x,j}\}_{j=g-d_i+1}^g)$$

$$= \operatorname{span}\{F_x(v_{x,j})\}_{j=g-d_i+1}^g$$

$$= \operatorname{span}\{x_j\}_{j=g-d_i+1}^g$$

$$= V_{i+1}.$$

where in the final equality on the first line we have use the fact that the last  $d_i$  vectors in the adapted basis  $\mathbf{v}_x$  span  $V_i$  by construction. We conclude from the definition of  $X_{r,s}$  that  $F_x$  lies in  $P_{r,s}^{\tau}$ , and we define  $\nu: X_{r,s} \to P_{r,s}(V)$  to be the map of sets which sends x to  $F_x$ . It is a then straightforward exercise to check that  $\nu$  and  $\mu$  are inverse mappings of sets.

We now wish to enumerate the set  $X_{r,s}$ . We remark that the set  $X_{r,s}$  is independent of  $\tau$ , so that the semilinearity aspect of our counting problem has been entirely removed at this point. The s vectors  $x_{g-s+1}, \ldots, x_g$  of V must be linearly independent, but otherwise may be chosen arbitrarily from V; in particular, there are

(3.4) 
$$\prod_{i=0}^{s-1} (q^g - q^i)$$

ways to do this. Supposing that  $x_{g-s+1}, \ldots, x_g$  have been chosen, we write  $V_{\infty}$  for their span and we put n := g - s and d := r - s. A choice  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  of n-vectors in V will have the property that the g-vectors  $x_1, \ldots, x_g$  span an r-dimensional subspace of V if and only if the images of  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  span a d-dimensional subspace of  $W := V/V_{\infty}$ . The condition (3) in the definition of  $X_{r,s}$  (Definition 3.1) that  $x_{g-s}$  lie in  $V_{\infty}$  is of course equivalent to the condition that its image in W be zero. We are therefore reduced to computing the cardinality of the set

$$Q_{n,d} := \{(w_i)_{i=1}^{n-1} \in W^{n-1} : \dim \operatorname{span}\{w_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1} = d\}.$$

As usual, we write Gr(W, d) for the Grassmannian of d-dimensional subspaces of W and for any k-vector space U, we denote by  $Hom_k^{surj}(k^{n-1}, U)$  the set of k-linear surjective homomorphisms from  $k^{n-1}$  onto U. We then define the set

$$A_{n,d} := \{(U,T) : U \in Gr(W,d) \text{ and } T \in Hom_k^{\text{surj}}(k^{n-1},U)\}$$

as well as a map of sets

$$(3.5) \gamma: Q_{n,d} \longrightarrow A_{n,d} \text{by} \gamma((w_i)_{i=1}^{n-1}) := (\operatorname{span}\{w_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}, T: \sum c_i f_i \mapsto \sum c_i w_i)$$

where  $\{f_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$  is the standard basis of  $k^{n-1}$ .

**Lemma 3.3.** The map  $\gamma$  of (3.5) is bijective.

*Proof.* The map 
$$\delta: A_{n,d} \to Q_{n,d}$$
 sending  $(U,T)$  to  $\{Tf_i\}_{i=1}^{n-1}$  is clearly inverse to  $\gamma$ .

To count  $Q_{n,d}$ , it therefore suffices to count  $A_{n,d}$ . To do this, we note that for any d-dimensional k-vector space U, choosing a basis of U gives a bijection between the set  $\operatorname{Hom}_k^{\operatorname{surj}}(k^{n-1},U)$  and the set of  $(n-1)\times d$  matrices over k with rank d, and we deduce that

(3.6) 
$$\# \operatorname{Hom}_{k}^{\operatorname{surj}}(k^{n-1}, U) = \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (q^{n-1} - q^{i})$$

for any such U (note, in particular, that this is independent of U). As the count

(3.7) 
$$\#\operatorname{Gr}(W,d) = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (q^n - q^i)}{\prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (q^d - q^i)}$$

is standard, we conclude from (3.7), (3.6) and Lemma 3.3 that

(3.8) 
$$\#Q_{n,d} = \#\operatorname{Gr}(W,d) \cdot \#\operatorname{Hom}_{k}^{\operatorname{surj}}(k^{n-1},U) = \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (q^{n} - q^{i})}{\prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (q^{d} - q^{i})} \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} (q^{n-1} - q^{i}).$$

For each  $w \in W = V/V_{\infty}$ , there are  $\#V_{\infty} = q^s$  ways to lift w to a vector in V, and hence  $q^{sn} = q^{s(g-s)}$  ways to lift any list of n = g - s vectors in W to V. Thus, by (3.8), the number of choices for the first g - s vectors  $(x_i)_{i=1}^{g-s}$  is

(3.9) 
$$q^{s(g-s)} \prod_{\substack{i=0\\r-s-1\\i=0}}^{r-s-1} (q^{g-s} - q^i) \prod_{i=0}^{r-s-1} (q^{g-s-1} - q^i).$$

Combining (3.9) and (3.4) and using Lemma 3.2 then gives

(3.10) 
$$\#P_{r,s}^{\tau} = \#X_{r,s} = q^{s(g-s)} \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{r-s-1} (q^{g-s} - q^i)}{\prod_{i=0}^{r-s-1} (q^{r-s} - q^i)} \prod_{i=0}^{r-s-1} (q^{g-s-1} - q^i) \prod_{i=0}^{s-1} (q^g - q^i),$$

which, after some elementary algebraic manipulation, is readily seen to be equivalent to the formula of Theorem 1.1.

## References

- [1] B. R. Cais, J. S. Ellenberg, and D. M. Zureick-Brown. Random dieudonné modules, random p-divisible groups, and random curves over finite fields. Preprint, 2012.
- [2] M. C. Crabb. Counting nilpotent endomorphisms. Finite Fields Appl., 12(1):151–154, 2006.